

SLAC/Stanford Tutorial, Sept. 12, 2019

Bob Glaeser, LBNL

**RADIATION
DAMAGE
DICTATES THE
PRACTICAL
DETAILS OF HOW
SINGLE-
PARTICLE**

BIOLOGICAL CRYO-EM IS DONE

CRYO-EM SPECIMENS ARE VERY SENSITIVE TO RADIATION DAMAGE

- **The resolution of visible spots in the FFTs of images of a thin catalase crystal decreases as the accumulated electron exposure is increased**
- **If the signal did not fade away with exposure, then longer exposures would produce better signal-to-noise**

- High-resolution feature fade much faster than lower resⁿ

- The optimal exposure thus depends upon

resolution

Baker, Smith, Bueler & Rubinstein.(2010) J. Struct. Biol. 169:431-437.

GRAPHENE CAN TOLERATE EXPOSURES $\gg 10^4$ e/Å²; WHY IS THAT NOT TRUE FOR BIOLOGICAL MACROMOLECULES?

- Again, high-resolution features of protein structure are destroyed after exposures of

~ 10 e/Å² at liq N₂ temperature, and much faster at room temp

- Empirically determined – Low-resolution features survive 5-10 times longer See: Taylor & Glaeser

(1976)Journal of

Ultrastructure Research 55, 448-456 Glaeser & Taylor. 1978.

Radiation-Damage Relative to Transmission Electron-Microscopy of Biological Specimens at Low-Temperature - Review. Journal of Microscopy-Oxford 112:127-138.

- **FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO KNOW ABOUT CHEMICAL BONDS:**

- Changes in bond order when a single electron is removed (ionized) from a large, conjugated-electron system vs from a saturated-bond system – Only knock-on damage & Auger events limit the exposures for graphene – Whereas ionization damage limits the “safe” exposure for proteins

INELASTIC ELECTRON SCATTERING IS WHAT CAUSES STRUCTURAL DAMAGE

- The majority of inelastic scattering events deposit about 25 eV per event – These primary

events involve collective excitation of all electrons within a small neighborhood (plasmon excitations)
– These initial excitations rapidly decay into one or more ionization events plus localized heat

• Nearly every such event produces, on average, one break in any type of organic (aliphatic) target-molecule

• Exposures of ~ 10 e/Å² deposit $\sim 10^{23}$ eV/g or $\sim 5 \times 10^9$ rads
– Energy densities this high are found in few locations on earth

other than a cryo-EM specimen
Figure taken from Aronova, M. A., & Leapman, R. D. (2012). Development of electron energy loss Spectroscopy in the biological sciences. *MRS bulletin / Materials Research Society*, 37(1), 53-62. doi: 10.1557/mrs.2011.329

RADIOLYSIS MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE TO RECORD INDIVIDUAL, HIGH-RESOLUTION IMAGES

**IMAGES TAKEN WITH
“SAFE” EXPOSURES
ARE TOO NOISY**

- **High-resolution features become buried in the noise as the exposures becomes lower and lower**
 - i.e. the random spatial distribution of electrons becomes more and more noticeable as the events become more and more sparse

A version of an empirical inequality established by Albert Rose, A. 1973. Vision: human and electronic. Plenum Press, New York

From: Glaeser, R. M. 2008. Retrospective: Radiation damage and its associated "Information Limitations". Journal of Structural Biology

163:271-276.

Images from many particles must thus be averaged in order to see high-resolution detail, even for a single pose

These images are sometimes called “2-D class averages”

**IT IS EVEN FURTHER
IMPOSSIBLE TO RECORD
HIGH-RESOLUTION 3-D DENSITY
MAPS OF A SINGLE PARTICLE**

- **Instead, data must be merged from many more particles, in different poses, in order to get a well-defined, 3-D density map – There are many possible ways to merge data, most of them not even using class**

averages

- **Many 10's-of-thousands of particles are typically used to ultimately produce a 3-D map that is not noise-limited**

2000 would be enough if images were perfect
3-D maps are “interpreted” by building a chemical structure that fits into the map in every detail

– Although as few as

ESTIMATES OF WHAT IS POSSIBLE WHEN DATA ARE MERGED: WHETHER SPA OR SUB-TOMO AVERAGING

- **Physics allows EM to get 3 Å structures by**

merging data from
~12,000 particles,

which must be
~40 kDa or larger –
Henderson 1995
(Quart. Rev. Biophys.
28:171-193)

- More optimistic
estimate: ~1400
particles, MW > 17
kDa
- Glaeser 1999 (J.
Struct. Biol. 128:3-14)
- An even slightly
more optimistic

estimate has been
made!

- Rosenthal &
Henderson 2003 (J.
Mol. Biol.
333:721-745)
- Cryo-EM
structures have
been obtained of
the iconic, 64 kDa
hemoglobin
molecule

• **But only after**
merging data from

>>10k particles –
And attempts to get
structures of <50 kDa
particles have so far
failed

Unphysical values are
required for the density
of the particle vs the
surrounding water

Divide by 100 to get $e/\text{\AA}^2$

Based on the Rose
equation for
distinguishing and
object from its
surrounding area

Glaeser, R. M., and R. J.
Hall. 2011. Reaching the
Information Limit in
Cryo-EM of Biological
Macromolecules:
Experimental Aspects.
Biophysical Journal

100:2331-2337.

**ESTIMATES OF WHAT IS
POSSIBLE FOR SINGLE
PARTICLES:
TOMOGRAPHY
RADIOLYSIS ALSO
CAUSES BEAM-INDUCED
MOTION**

- **A current model (mine, anyway) is that mechanical stress within the thin-foil sample changes as it is being irradiated**
 - **The thin foil responds by flexing and**

bending – So-called ‘drum-head’ or “doming”

motion

• If the sample is

horizontal

locally tilted by

component

even a few

**Example of MotionCorr2
tracking of image (and**

degrees, this

sub-region) **motion**

Z-motion

during a movie

translates to a

**Zheng, Palovcak, Armache
Verba, Cheng, & Agard.**

2017. Nature Methods

14:331-332.

significant

**MORE ABOUT THE MODEL
THAT SPECIMEN MOTION IS
ATTRIBUTED TO “DOMING”**

• The model “drumhead” of specimen or motion “doming” – Vertical may usually changes be ignored in position • The enough depth to of not field worry is great - usually – But may just the specimen the a be small significant, horizontal tilt of the component even plane for of • Motion first (Burst few phase) is $e/\text{\AA}$ greatest 2 of exposure during the – Slows exposure significantly continues as the • Charging plays no significant does occur, role but it • HYPOTHESIS REPEATED:

- Stress unequal is created thermal during contraction vitrification of components due to – This stress is released during the first, burst phase – But irradiation new stress

continues

continues to be generated as

Russo, C. J., and L. A. Passmore. 2014. Ultrastable gold substrates for electron cryomicroscopy. *Science* 346:1377-1380.

**DOSE-FRACTIONATED
“MOVIE-MODE” IMAGES
ARE A MAJOR ADVANCE,
BUT STILL FAR FROM
PERFECT
AS SAID PREVIOUSLY,
RADIATION DAMAGE IS
CAUSED ALMOST
COMPLETELY BY
INELEASTIC SCATTERING OF
ELECTRONS**

- We cannot pretend that electrons never lose energy when passing through thin samples
- Inelastic scattering occurs, in fact, about three times more frequently than elastic scattering!
- But knock-on

damage can be ignored completely for biological molecules because it happens so rarely – Although knock-on is the main limitation for imaging metals, minerals, and most other hard materials

Most electrons are never scattered

Some, however, are inelastically scattered
inelastically scattered Some, however, are
Some, however, are inelastically scattered

RUPTURE OF CHEMICAL BONDS IS ~IRREVERSIBLE

- **CRYO-TEMP DOES NOT MITIGATE THE**

RATE AT WHICH INTIAL (PRIMARY)

DAMAGE OCCURS – This is just physics

- **Instead, reactive species go on to**

attack neighbors if they can, and thus do

secondary damage – This is chemistry

- **CRYO-TEMPERATURES HELPS**

BECAUSE PRODUCTS OF RADIOLYSIS

ARE “CAGED” – Secondary damage is

greatly reduced or even eliminated

THIS FIGURE IS JUST EYE-CANDY It does not really match the points that I am making

FURTHER NOTE THAT: 1. Radiolysis reactions follow many possible

paths, few of which lead back to the parent 2.

Covalent bond lengths become van der Waals contacts, and the daughter fragments no longer fit into the parent cavity

THE RESULTING MISFIT GENERATES STRESS 3. The initial recoil during bond rupture embeds fragments far enough into the surrounding matrix that broken bonds cannot reform

**WATCHING MOLECULES –
AND EVEN CELLS –
FUNCTION IN LIQUID WATER
IS A VAIN HOPE**

Glaeser, R. M. 2016. Chapter Two - Specimen Behavior in the Electron Beam. In Methods in Enzymology. R. A. Crowther, editor. Academic Press. 19-50

SUMMARY

- **Biological macromolecules are sensitive to ionizing radiation**
 - **Electrons are strongly ionizing radiation**
- **This limits the electron exposure that can be used to record images**
 - **Merging data from 10's of thousands of particles overcomes the problem that the noise-level is otherwise too high**
- **Radiolysis also releases**

**pre-existing mechanical stress, and
generates additional, new stress**

**– Movie-mode data acquisition largely, but
not completely recovers detail that is
otherwise lost due to this motion**